| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|------------------------|--------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 09/927,922 | ALEXANDER, RICHARD |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Sue A. Weaver | 3727 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: A;;owed | | |
| (1) Sue A. Weaver. | (3) | |
| (2) Angus R. Gill. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 18 June 2004 | Time: <u><i>AM</i></u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No | | |
| If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | • | |
| Claims discussed: Independent claims 1-7. 52-55, 59, 63 and 67 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's attorney agreed to the correction made in each of the independent claims on the penultimate line to change "envelope is" to—'stops are- in order to avoid a double inclusion of the stop construction. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Sue U N earce (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |